

Photosynthetic Membranes

KENNETH SAUER

*Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biodynamics Laboratory, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory,
University of California, Berkeley, California 94720*

Received September 27, 1977

The challenge of collecting light from the sky efficiently and economically is becoming increasingly urgent for our energy-consuming society. The phenomena of green plant photosynthesis are frequently cited as the response to this challenge developed via biological evolution. The performance of photosynthetic organisms in gathering sunlight and converting it into chemical free energy is enormously impressive when compared with the best efforts of technology to date.

What are the lessons that we can learn from careful examination of natural photosynthesis? Can these lessons be applied in designing and constructing solar energy devices to provide future generations with convenient, portable and economic forms of power? Can we accomplish these objectives without prohibitive costs in terms of initial investment, consequences to ecology and the environment, or major disruptions of the organization of society and the distribution of populations? These are some of the questions that are being addressed by the planners of new programs to meet the energy needs of the future.¹

Before describing how photosynthetic organisms accomplish the task of converting photons of visible light into energy-rich chemical products (wood, food-stuffs, and eventually coal and petroleum), I wish to present a familiar analogy. Persons acquainted with astronomy are well aware that, while a pinhole camera can be used to make an acceptable picture of the heavens, large diameter telescopes that increase enormously the capture cross section for incoming light are required to enable very weak or very distant stellar objects to be recorded. Telescopes the size of the largest reflectors in California (5.1 m diameter) or in the USSR (6.0 m) have reached nearly the physical limit of such devices, however. Astronomers are proposing a new generation of more powerful optical telescopes constructed as arrays of smaller collectors that send their

signals in a coherent fashion to a computer for processing and integration. It is probably no accident that the absorption, collection, and utilization of light by plants and photosynthetic bacteria have evolved into a system that bears a remarkable similarity to the astronomers' scheme.²

Photosynthetic Energy Conversion

The light reactions that characterize photosynthesis are photoredox reactions that convert the electromagnetic energy of light into chemical free energy, ultimately in the form of biochemical substances—carbohydrates, fats, proteins, nucleic acids, etc.—that make up the plant itself. The rate of this photochemistry increases with increasing light intensity, but as for enzymatic reactions there is a saturation level that is reached when the influx of photons exceeds the turnover rate of the slower biochemical steps in the complex electron-transport chain.

The photochemical events involve the transfer of electrons one at a time from chlorophyll (Chl) molecules to electron acceptors. If each Chl present in the chloroplast had this photochemical role to play, then the situation would be analogous to an astronomer using a pinhole camera. In fact, plants and photosynthetic bacteria are much more frugal with their complex and expensive electron-transport machinery, because only 1% or less of the Chl is typically committed to the photo "reaction centers". The remainder serves as a chemically passive antenna that collects additional light by absorbing photons and transferring the resulting electronic excitation to the reaction centers. This clearly permits photosynthesis to occur efficiently at much lower light intensities than would be true if each reaction center had only its intrinsic Chl to gather light. The analogy with the telescope lens or reflector is straightforward.

Beyond this simple analogy, however, there is increasing evidence that arrays of photosynthetic units in intracellular membranes act cooperatively to generate transmembrane electric fields and to pump ions from one side to the other.³ The fields and ion gradients

Kenneth Sauer is Professor of Chemistry at the University of California, Berkeley, and Faculty Senior Scientist of the Chemical Biodynamics Laboratory of the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory. He was born in Cleveland, Ohio, in 1931 and received his undergraduate education at Oberlin College. After receiving the Ph.D. degree from Harvard University, he taught for 3 years at the American University of Beirut, Lebanon, before going to Berkeley. Last year he was a Guggenheim Fellow at the Centre d'Études Nucléaires at Saclay, France. His research interests include primary processes in photosynthetic energy conversion, membrane structure and function, and the spectroscopy of chlorophyll proteins and hemoproteins.

(1) R. K. Clayton, *Brookhaven Symp. Biol.*, **28**, 1-13 (1977).

(2) K. Sauer in "Bioenergetics of Photosynthesis", Govindjee, Ed., Academic Press, New York, N.Y., 1975, pp 115-181.

(3) A. T. Jagendorf in ref 2, pp 413-492.



Figure 1. Electron micrograph of a thin section through a leaf of spinach. The cell walls separating two adjacent cells run across above the center of the picture. The chloroplast shown in the lower portion contains internal membranes (dark staining) where the chlorophyll and the photochemical apparatus are located. Reprinted with permission from ref 6. Copyright 1965, Academic Press.

provide an important part of the chemical potential necessary to drive the endergonic biosynthetic reactions. The detailed ordering of these membrane arrays and the nature and interactions of the subunits are the subject of intensive investigation.⁴

Membrane Organization and Function

It is of major importance that in all known photosynthetic organisms the light reactions occur within membranes inside the cells. The membranes are complex biochemically, for they contain the chlorophyll pigments, the reaction center components, and most of the molecules of the electron-transport chain.⁵ In addition, there are accessory pigments like carotenoids and phycobilins, a variety of colorless lipids, quinones that participate in electron transport, and an array of proteins that incorporate an assortment of several dozen distinct polypeptide chains. Furthermore, these proteins include functional prosthetic groups such as the hemes of cytochromes, iron-sulfur centers in ferredoxins, and copper in plastocyanin. Manganese atoms, bound in an unknown way into the membranes, are essential to the mechanism of molecular oxygen evolution in higher plants.

The "minimum molecular weight" of a photosynthetic unit of photosynthetic bacteria is about 0.7×10^6 ; in higher plants it is nearly 2×10^6 . The concentration of chlorophyll pigments, which constitute over 5% by weight of the membranes, approaches 0.1 M. The absorption of light by these membranes is sufficiently great that stacks of 20 or so thylakoids (closed internal membranes of chloroplasts) that occur in the grana of chloroplasts (see Figure 1)⁶ appear to be black in the light microscope. The low level of light that penetrates the leaf canopy of a mature forest is a measure of the thoroughness with which photons are captured.

Electron microscopy and a variety of biochemical studies demonstrate that the internal membranes seen



Figure 2. Freeze-fracture electron micrograph showing fracture faces associated with the photosynthetic membranes (thylakoids) of a chloroplast. The large particles, which appear in organized arrays in some regions of the sample, are spaced at intervals of about 17 nm. (The figure was kindly provided by Professor R. B. Park, University of California, Berkeley.)

in Figure 1 are closed surfaces like flattened balloons, and they serve to separate inside and outside spaces. (The terms "inside" and "outside" are used here in a relative sense; both spaces are apparently completely internal to the chloroplast or to the bacterial cell.) Electron microscopy of the surfaces of the membranes (Figure 2) shows distinctions in surface structure that characterize the different faces.⁷ These differences are supported by studies of the localization of biochemical reactivity or of accessibility to labeling reagents.^{8,9}

One consequence of the inside-outside polarity is the ability of photosynthetic membranes to generate transmembrane electric fields and to pump ions upon illumination.^{10,11} Because of the transport of H^+ from the outside to the inside of the thylakoids, differences of more than 2.5 pH units can be built up across the membranes. The electric potentials generated¹² appear to reach magnitudes of 100 mV, and together with the ion gradients they constitute an important form of stored chemical potential. The fields and ion gradients are thought to be the driving force for the phosphorylation of ADP to ATP, which is one of the major energy-storing accomplishments of the photosynthetic light reactions.

Several of the thylakoid surfaces visualized in Figure 2 show evidence of containing particles, some of them in regular two-dimensional arrays. It is tempting to relate these to the components of the light reactions, especially because they appear to be about the right size ($18.5 \times 15.5 \times 10$ nm in chloroplast membranes) to correspond to the photosynthetic units as defined in kinetic experiments. Their detailed structure lies beyond the limits of resolution of the electron microscope, however, and at present it is necessary to use more indirect physical and optical spectroscopic

(4) J. P. Thornber, R. S. Alberte, F. A. Hunter, J. A. Shiozawa, and K.-S. Kan, *Brookhaven Symp. Biol.*, **28**, 132-148 (1977).

(5) A. Trebst, *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol.*, **25**, 423-458 (1974).

(6) R. B. Park in "Plant Biochemistry", J. Bonner and J. E. Varner, Ed., Academic Press, New York, N.Y., 1965, pp 124-150.

(7) D. Branton and R. B. Park, *J. Ultrastruct. Res.*, **19**, 283-303 (1967).

(8) E. G. Uribe, *Biochemistry*, **11**, 4228-4235 (1972).

(9) R. T. Giaquinta, R. A. Dilley, B. R. Selman, and B. J. Anderson, *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.*, **162**, 200-209 (1974).

(10) W. Junge and H. T. Witt, *Z. Naturforsch. B*, **23**, 244-254 (1968).

(11) J. B. Jackson and A. R. Crofts, *FEBS Lett.*, **4**, 185-189 (1969).

(12) P. Gräber and H. T. Witt, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, **423**, 141-163 (1976).




Figure 3. Chlorophyll a and bacteriochlorophyll a molecular structures. These magnesium porphyrins contain extensive regions of π -electron density (stippling) that are the origins of the visible absorption transitions. The centers of asymmetric substitution adjacent to the porphyrin system give rise to intrinsic circular dichroism. Reprinted with permission from ref 2. Copyright 1975, Academic Press.

methods to probe the molecular arrangement within the photosynthetic membranes.

Absorption Spectra

The chlorophyll, carotenoid, and other pigment molecules present in photosynthetic membranes tend to dominate studies using optical absorption, fluorescence, and circular dichroism spectroscopy. Reactive molecules such as cytochromes or quinones exhibit absorption that is usually several orders of magnitude weaker in intensity than that of the chlorophylls. As a consequence, in the important studies designed to determine their role in the photoreactions, the "active" species are usually observed by sensitive difference spectroscopy (light minus dark, oxidized minus reduced, etc.).

The chief photosynthetic pigments—chlorophylls, carotenes, and xanthophylls—occur in the membranes as distinct molecules, not covalently linked to other membrane components. This is demonstrated by the ease with which the pigments can be extracted intact by organic solvents or certain detergents. At the same time the molecules are not distributed uniformly through the membrane as in a simple solution. They occur in well-defined environments such that, using more gentle methods, they can be dissected from the membrane with their surroundings essentially intact.⁴ It is from studies of such membrane subunits that we are beginning to learn the nature of the chief building blocks.

When chlorophyll is extracted from photosynthetic membranes into an organic solvent, there are distinctive changes in the absorption and fluorescence spectra, a several-fold increase in fluorescence yield, and a dramatic alteration in the circular dichroism.² The most extensive and interpretable changes occur between 650 and 750 nm for the chlorophylls (Chl a and Chl b) of higher plants and between 750 and 1050 nm in the near-infrared for the bacteriochlorophylls (BChl a and BChl b) of the purple photosynthetic bacteria.

The molecular structures of the two most important pigments are shown in Figure 3. In each case the absorption bands in the long wavelength region, des-




Figure 4. Spectra of BChl a in diethyl ether solution (dashed curves) and of chromatophores of the photosynthetic bacterium *Rhodospseudomonas spheroides*, wild type (solid curves). (A) Absorption spectra. (B) Circular dichroism. Path lengths, 1 cm.

ignated Q_y bands by spectroscopists, occur at longer wavelengths than for the same molecules in solution. The magnitude of the shifts is best appreciated on an energy scale. For Chl a in a solvent such as diethyl ether the Q_y band occurs at $15\,100\text{ cm}^{-1}$, whereas in chloroplast membranes it is decreased in energy by $300\text{--}800\text{ cm}^{-1}$. (In crystalline Chl a the decrease is as large as 1600 cm^{-1} , but there is no evidence for the presence of crystalline chlorophylls *in vivo*.) For BChl, where the Q_y band occurs at $13\,000\text{ cm}^{-1}$ in ether solution, the absorption changes are larger and more dramatic.

Not only are large shifts observed, by as much as 1600 and 3200 cm^{-1} in bacteria containing BChl a and BChl b, respectively, but the Q_y transition exhibits multiple components in the absorption spectra of the bacterial membranes (Figure 4, A). Similar absorption band splittings are seen for chloroplast membranes, but the components overlap strongly and are more difficult to resolve. It has been proposed that these multiple bands simply reflect Chl or BChl molecules in separate and different environments in the membranes.^{13,14} However, there is a more intriguing likelihood that they arise from interactions of an excitonic nature between neighboring chlorophyll molecules and, hence, reflect the energy and geometry of the interacting pigment molecules in a much more specific way.¹⁵

Circular Dichroism

To resolve this question we have applied circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy, which indicates the molecular interactions more directly. The differential absorption of left and right circularly polarized light ($\Delta A = A_L - A_R$) occurs only when there is chirality (asymmetry) in the system. The (bacterio)chlorophyll molecules all possess *intrinsic* chirality owing to the presence of asymmetrically substituted carbon atoms, as shown in Figure 3. As a consequence, solutions of the chlorophylls all exhibit circular dichroism. The CD spectra of chlorophylls in polar organic solvents exhibit two characteristic features: (1) the intensity of the circular dichroism, ΔA , is small relative to the absorbance, A , at any wavelength, with $\Delta A/A$ characteristically in the range $(0.6\text{--}2.6) \times 10^{-4}$; and (2) the shape of each CD spectral band resembles that of the cor-

(13) C. S. French, J. S. Brown, and M. C. Lawrence, *Plant Physiol.*, **49**, 421–429 (1972).

(14) J. J. Katz, J. R. Norris, and L. L. Shipman, *Brookhaven Symp. Biol.*, **28**, 16–54 (1977).

(15) E. A. Dratz, A. J. Schultz, and K. Sauer, *Brookhaven Symp. Biol.*, **19**, 303–318 (1967).

responding absorption band, although the CD may be either positive ($A_L > A_R$) or negative ($A_L < A_R$) for each band.¹⁶

CD spectra can be measured also for suspensions of membranes derived from chloroplasts or photosynthetic bacteria. (There are problems in looking at whole leaves or intact chloroplasts because of distortions owing to the strong light scattering by such materials.¹⁷) For the same overall concentration of the chlorophylls, the CD signals of the membranes are typically larger, $\Delta A/A = (5-20) \times 10^{-4}$, and have more complex band shapes than those observed for simple solutions of the same pigments. As seen in Figure 4, B, there are characteristically one or more zero crossings (where $\Delta A = 0$) within the band envelope, such that the CD changes sign from one portion to the next. Such features are known to be a consequence of dipole-dipole coupling between similar or identical chromophores that are asymmetrically arranged near one another.¹⁸ In other words, it is evidence for aggregation of chlorophylls within the membranes. The same qualitative features (increased CD amplitudes and zero crossings) are observed for Chl or BChl dimers in solution,^{15,16} for example, although analysis of the spectra indicates that such solution dimers do not occur in vivo. More generally, the number of zero crossings within the band envelope and the relative amplitudes of the different components are direct and sensitive indicators of the number of molecules interacting and, more particularly, of their relative orientation and arrangement within the aggregate.

A Bacteriochlorophyll-Protein Complex of Known Structure

Recent studies provide strong evidence that most of the chlorophyll in vivo occurs in an aggregated form that is closely associated with and probably surrounded by protein.^{4,19} The clearest example of this is a water-soluble BChl-protein from green photosynthetic bacteria that was isolated and crystallized by Olson and co-workers.²⁰ This is an antenna component that is unusual in that it is not membrane bound. Detailed analysis of the X-ray diffraction²¹ of the crystals shows that the molecule consists of three identical subunits, each containing seven BChl molecules, for a total of 21 BChl in a molecular weight of 145×10^3 . The BChl molecules are collected together inside a thin coat of protein, mostly single layered in an antiparallel β -sheet structure—much like marbles in a sack. The center-to-center distance between nearest-neighbor BChl molecules within one subunit is 1.2–1.4 nm, which is rather large compared with that in chlorophyll crystals. It appears that the central Mg atoms are coordinated by protein side-chain groups or water, rather than by other BChl molecules in the complex.

The absorption and CD spectra, particularly at low temperature, for this BChl-protein²² show multiple components and zero crossings, respectively, within the




Figure 5. Absorption and CD spectra of bacteriochlorophyll-protein complex from green bacteria at room temperature (dashed curves) and at 80 K (solid curves). Improved resolution at the lower temperature reveals multiple CD components (from ref 22).

Q_y band envelope (Figure 5). Now that the detailed arrangement of the pigment molecules is known, it is possible to calculate the spectroscopic properties to calibrate the quantum mechanical models of the excitonic interactions. Preliminary calculations show that the observed band splittings are reasonable for exciton coupling at the known distances of separation in this BChl-protein.²²

Photosynthetic Membrane Components

During the past decade the dissection of photosynthetic membranes into their components has revealed a hierarchy of structure. Certain proteins that are loosely attached can be largely removed by washing with aqueous buffers. For chloroplast membranes these lightly bound species include some of the carbon-fixing enzymes, a portion of the copper-protein plastocyanin, and the "soluble" plant ferredoxin. Addition of a chelating agent like EDTA serves to detach the phosphorylation coupling factor,^{23,24} a large (325×10^3 dalton) complex of eight or nine peptides that appears to be located on the "outer" surface of the membranes and linked by a bridge that requires the presence of divalent cations. Selective use of organic solvents, depending on their polarity, serves to induce differential extraction of the carotenes, quinones, xanthophylls, chlorophylls, and the colorless lipids. In some of these cases the limited extent of membrane disruption can be demonstrated through the reconstitution of "activity" by adding back the missing component.²⁵

The most widely used approach of membrane dissection involves the use of detergents or other amphiphilic compounds. These agents presumably substitute for the normal lipid-protein interactions that hold the membrane together. By using Triton X-100 or lauryldimethylamine oxide (LDAO) to treat the

(16) C. Houssier and K. Sauer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **92**, 779–791 (1970).

(17) K. D. Philipson and K. Sauer, *Biochemistry*, **12**, 3454–3458 (1973).

(18) I. Tinoco, Jr., *Radiat. Res.*, **20**, 133–139 (1963).

(19) R. K. Clayton and B. J. Clayton, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, **283**, 492–504 (1972).

(20) J. M. Olson, *Methods Enzymol.*, **23**, 636–644 (1971).


(21) R. E. Fenna and B. W. Matthews, *Brookhaven Symp. Biol.*, **28**, 170–182 (1977); *Nature (London)*, **258**, 573–577 (1975).

(22) K. D. Philipson and K. Sauer, *Biochemistry*, **11**, 1880–1885 (1972).

(23) F. Farron, *Biochemistry*, **9**, 3823–3828 (1970).

(24) N. Nelson, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, **456**, 314–338 (1976).

(25) P. M. Wood, H. N. Bhagavan, and F. L. Crane, *Plant Physiol.*, **41**, 633–640 (1966).



REACTION CENTER

Figure 6. Hypothetical model of the reaction centers of photosynthetic bacteria, showing the association of four BChl and two BPheo with three peptides and an iron-ubiquinone complex.

intracytoplasmic membranes of photosynthetic bacteria, for example, Clayton and co-workers^{26,27} were able to obtain preparations of reaction centers as isolated protein complexes free from the antenna pigments. These reaction center complexes retain the ability to carry out the primary photochemistry. Further studies showed that they contain four BChl and two BPheo per center (BPheo, bacteriopheophytin, is identical with BChl but with the central Mg atom replaced by two hydrogens), three peptides with molecular weights of 21, 23, and 28 $\times 10^3$, ubiquinone molecules, and an iron atom,²⁸ as illustrated in Figure 6. Reaction centers can be obtained with or without attached cytochromes; either way they are able to carry out the light reactions with high efficiency.

The majority of the BChl, which constitutes the antenna array of the membranes, appears in a separate BChl-protein complex that has no photochemical activity.¹⁹ In most cases involving detergent treatment a portion of the pigment (up to one-third) is unavoidably solubilized free of protein. In at least one case this appears to derive from an unstable complex that dissociates slowly during the separation procedure,⁴ but there remains the possibility that some of the pigment pool occurs in the membrane not in direct association with protein.

In the case of chloroplast membranes a clean separation of the antenna pigment from the reaction centers has not yet been accomplished. Nevertheless, Chl-proteins with well-defined stoichiometries have been obtained especially by Vernon,²⁹ Boardman,³⁰ Thornber,⁴ and co-workers. Some of these contain reaction centers, and others appear to be portions of the antenna without photochemical activity. There is increasing evidence that these Chl-proteins exist as complexes in the native membranes and are not simply artifacts resulting from rearrangements during the detergent treatment. This is easiest to demonstrate for the particles that contain active reaction centers, but Lynn Austin in my laboratory at Berkeley has used CD spectroscopy to demonstrate that it is probably true for antenna BChl-proteins as well.³¹

(26) D. W. Reed and R. K. Clayton, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*, **30**, 471-475 (1968).

(27) R. K. Clayton and R. T. Wang, *Methods Enzymol.*, **23**, 696-704 (1971).

(28) M. Y. Okamura, L. A. Steiner, and G. Feher, *Biochemistry*, **13**, 1394-1403 (1974).

(29) L. P. Vernon and E. R. Shaw, *Methods Enzymol.*, **23**, 277-289 (1971).

(30) J. M. Anderson and N. K. Boardman, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, **112**, 403-421 (1966).




Figure 7. Absorption and CD spectra of reaction centers isolated from *Rps. spheroides* (R-26 mutant). The reaction center activity is demonstrated by the differences between spectra measured while the sample is under actinic illumination (dashed curves) and an unilluminated sample (solid curves).

Reaction Centers and Antenna Bacteriochlorophyll-Proteins

Our studies involved two variants of the photosynthetic bacterium *Rhodospseudomonas spheroides*. We used the wild-type organism and a mutant species (designated R-26) that is missing the carotenoids spheroidene and spheroidenone, which occur in addition to BChl in the antenna complement of the wild-type organism. Because these carotenoids absorb light in the visible region between 400 and 520 nm (Figure 4, A), the two organisms have a markedly different appearance in culture flasks—the wild-type is deep purplish red and the mutant is blue-green in color. The resulting differences in absorption spectra are not restricted to the carotenoid regions, however, for there are significant alterations in the Q_y transition region of BChl absorption. While the main absorption occurs between 850 and 860 nm in both cases, the wild-type organism has an additional peak at 800 nm and a shoulder at 870 nm (Figure 4, A) that are missing in the mutant. Nevertheless, the CD spectra are quite similar in this region. In each case there is a large double CD that is negative at long wavelengths, has a zero crossing near the absorption maximum at 850 nm, and becomes positive on the short wavelength side. (See Figure 4, B, for the wild-type CD spectrum.) The magnitude of $\Delta A/A$ for these components is about 8×10^{-4} , which is about ten times that for BChl in organic solvents.

Austin used a detergent treatment somewhat modified from that of Clayton and Wang²⁷ to prepare fractions containing purified reaction centers or antenna

(31) L. A. Austin, Ph.D. Thesis, University of California, Berkeley, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory Report LBL-5512 (1976); K. Sauer and L. A. Austin, *Biochemistry*, **17**, 2011-2019 (1978).




Figure 8. Absorption and CD spectra of an antenna BChl-protein (solid curves) isolated from the wild-type of *Rps. spheroides*, compared with the spectra of intact chromatophores (dashed curves) from the same organism.

BChl-proteins. The absorption and CD spectra of the reaction center complexes have been studied in detail,³²⁻³⁴ and they provide evidence of extensive excitonic interactions among the associated BChl and BPheo molecules (Figure 7). Not only are there resolved absorption bands at 860, 800, and 760 nm, but also the 800-nm band is complex, with a shoulder seen at 810 nm at low temperature³⁵ and with zero crossings in the CD spectrum. Furthermore, these features change when the reaction centers are photoactivated to initiate electron transfer.³² In the intact chromatophores or bacteria the spectral contributions of the reaction centers are not apparent because they contribute only a few percent to the absorption and the CD.

The antenna BChl-protein fraction exhibits distinctive absorption and CD spectra (Figure 8) that are quite different from those of the reaction center fraction but resemble the corresponding spectra of the chromatophore fraction. This is true for both the mutant and the wild-type organism. Each antenna BChl-protein has a strong absorption maximum near 855 nm associated with a double CD feature, negative to long wavelength. The wild-type antenna BChl-protein has the absorption band at 800 nm that is missing in the mutant; the 800-nm band is associated with a weak negative single CD.³¹ Because of the close correspondence of the spectral features we feel that the arrangement of the BChl molecules in these isolated antenna complexes is essentially unchanged from the in vivo configuration.

The antenna BChl-proteins appear to be quite simple in structure. Austin found that each contains two copies apparently of a single polypeptide of about 8.5×10^3 dalton. The molecular weight of the polypeptide was confirmed by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, amino acid analysis, sedimentation velocity, and gel filtration. (Tonn et al. recently reported two different

peptides associated with the antenna from the wild-type of *Rps. spheroides*.³⁶ We do find that the intact BChl-protein contains two polypeptide chains per complex, but we have been unable to resolve molecular weight differences between them, either for the wild-type or the mutant organism.³¹) Associated with the two peptide chains there are two BChl molecules in the R-26 mutant and three BChl molecules in the wild-type antenna BChl-protein. Although they are not covalently attached, the BChl molecules do appear to be inside the protein and not readily accessible by solvent.

The differences between the absorption and the similarities between the CD spectra of the two complexes can be related nicely to the stoichiometry differences by assuming that both the mutant and the wild-type complexes contain a pair of closely coupled BChl molecules responsible for the 855-nm absorption band and the associated double CD and that the additional BChl molecule in the wild-type complex is responsible for the 800-nm absorption band seen in its spectrum. The evidence suggests that the latter molecule does not interact strongly with the other two, either because it is peripheral or because its orientation is unfavorable. In fact, it seems remarkable that such a small complex (20×10^3 dalton) can so readily delete one of the three BChl molecules in the wild-type antenna protein without causing profound structural changes and altering the relation of the other two BChl to one another. Another puzzle is the nature of the genetic mutation that puts a block in the terminal stages of carotenoid synthesis and, at the same time, deletes one of three BChl molecules from each antenna complex.

A Pebble-Mosaic Membrane Model

An important guide to current thinking about membrane structure is the fluid-mosaic model formulated by Singer and Nicolson³⁷ in 1972. In this view active biological membranes consist of a basic lipid bilayer in which the membrane proteins are partially or wholly immersed, depending on the extent and distribution of the hydrophobic amino acid side chains. Some membrane proteins appear to protrude through both surfaces of the lipid matrix, some through only one, and others have no demonstrable accessibility from the aqueous phase. The entire arrangement is "fluid" in the sense that individual proteins are relatively free to move about in two dimensions in the lipid bilayer matrix.

The photosynthetic membranes are unusual in having a relatively low content of lipids (27% in the case of chromatophores from *Rps. spheroides*) involved in the bilayer structure.³⁸ Furthermore, they often show extensive arrays of ordered particles (Figure 2) that appear to be protein complexes. To pictorialize these properties of chloroplast membranes I formulated the pebble-mosaic model,² which is a variation of the Singer-Nicolson model. It is relevant to chloroplast thylakoids, to the intracytoplasmic membrane of photosynthetic bacteria, to the bacteriorhodopsin-containing purple membrane of *Halobacterium halo-*

(32) K. Sauer, E. A. Dratz, and L. Coyne, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, **61**, 17-24 (1968).

(33) K. D. Philipson and K. Sauer, *Biochemistry*, **12**, 535-539 (1973).

(34) D. W. Reed and B. Ke, *J. Biol. Chem.*, **248**, 3041-3045 (1973).

(35) G. Feher, *Photochem. Photobiol.*, **14**, 373-387 (1971).

(36) S. J. Tonn, G. E. Gogel, and P. A. Loach, *Biochemistry*, **16**, 877-885 (1977).

(37) S. J. Singer and G. L. Nicolson, *Science*, **175**, 720-731 (1972).

(38) A. Gorchein, A. Neuberger, and G. H. Tait, *Proc. R. Soc., London, Ser. B*, **170**, 229-246 (1968).




Figure 9. Model of the assembly of intracytoplasmic membranes of photosynthetic bacteria. The reaction centers (left) form complexes with cytochromes and, with 12–15 antenna BChl-proteins attached, make up a basic photosynthetic unit of the active membranes. The units are assembled with lipids in a two-dimensional sheet that possesses the asymmetry required for ion pumping and electric-field formation.

bium, to rod outer segments of visual cells, to mitochondrial intracytoplasmic membranes—in fact, to any membranes where interprotein interactions are dominant.

In the case of *Rps. spheroides* we consider the intracytoplasmic membrane to consist of a two-dimensional array or mosaic of individual photosynthetic unit complexes. An illustration of this model is shown in Figure 9. Each of these complexes consists of a defined set of components (antenna BChl-proteins, cytochromes, quinones, etc.) associated with a reaction center and joined together by the lipid matrix. The fact that the ordered arrays of particles occur irregularly may mean that the photosynthetic membranes retain a certain aspect of fluidity as the arrays break up and re-form.³⁹

A listing of the major known membrane-bound components for *Rps. spheroides* is given in Table I, with approximate stoichiometries and molecular weights for each. Put together, these make a complex of about 750×10^3 dalton, which corresponds rather closely to the estimated weight ($650\text{--}800 \times 10^3$ dalton) of subchromatophore particles that can be visualized in the electron microscope.⁴⁰

The arrangement of the components is critical for efficient photosynthetic energy conversion. The BChl of the antenna must be able to transfer energy efficiently to the reaction centers. One direct indicator of this transfer is the fact that BChl fluorescence is much more depolarized coming from chromatophores than from the isolated BChl-proteins.³¹ Furthermore, a number of experiments suggest that there may be excitation transfer not only within but also between adjacent photosynthetic unit complexes.⁴¹

Orientation of Reaction Centers in the Membranes

An important aspect of membrane structure is the orientation of the components and their disposition

(39) L. A. Staehelin, *J. Cell Biol.*, **71**, 136–158 (1976).

(40) D. W. Reed and D. Raveed, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, **283**, 79–91 (1972).

(41) R. K. Clayton, *J. Theor. Biol.*, **14**, 173–186 (1967).

Table I
Principal Components of the Subunit of Membranes from the Photosynthetic Unit of *Rhodospseudomonas spheroides*, Wild Type

	Mol wt $\times 10^{-3}$
Reaction center proteins	28
Cytochrome c ₅₅₁	23
Cytochrome b ₅₅₈	21
Cytochrome b ₅₆₀	44
Antenna proteins (25–30) \times 8.5	60
BChl + BPheo (60–80)	60
Carotenoids	250
Ubiquinone (8)	70
Phospholipids (20)	28
Carbohydrate	4
	150
	30
Total	770

with respect to the inside and outside surfaces. Studies using polarized light show that not only are the BChl antenna pigments oriented with respect to the membrane plane⁴² but the reaction center pigments are as well.⁴³

In the case of chloroplast membranes, Anne McGuire, Charles Dismukes, and Robert Blankenship in my laboratory at Berkeley have recently carried out electron paramagnetic resonance studies, including spin polarization or CIDEP studies, on oriented membranes.⁴⁴ These show that certain of the paramagnetic species (probably quinone derivatives in one case and iron-sulfur proteins in another) that serve as electron acceptors have fixed orientations, both with respect to the membrane plane and with respect to counter radicals (Chl^+) produced in the primary photochemical charge separation. Undoubtedly these fixed orientations and arrangements are essential to producing the localized electric fields within and across the membranes and the associated vectorial pumping of ions that leads eventually to photophosphorylation.⁴⁵

Confirmation of these distinctions between inside and outside surfaces comes from studies using chemical labeling reagents or antibodies to particular membrane proteins. Furthermore, accessibility by substrate molecules that are either natural (ADP is phosphorylated on the “outside” surface) or artificial (electron donors and acceptors) gives additional information concerning the location of membrane components. To cite one example, antibodies to polypeptides of the bacterial reaction center complex have been prepared and attached to the dense iron-core protein, ferritin, which can be seen in electron microscope pictures.^{46,47} These ferritin-labeled antibodies interact with accessible sites on the surface of chromatophore fragments or intracytoplasmic membranes of bacterial cells with ruptured cell walls or perforated membranes.

The results are enriched by the fact that the membrane surface that faces the periplasmic space between the membrane and the limiting bacterial cell wall (i.e.,

(42) J. Breton, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*, **59**, 1011–1017 (1974).

(43) A. Vermeiglio and R. K. Clayton, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, **449**, 500–515 (1976).

(44) G. C. Dismukes, A. McGuire, R. E. Blankenship, and K. Sauer, *Biophys. J.*, **21**, 239–256 (1978).

(45) A. R. Crofts, C. A. Wraight, and D. E. Fleischmann, *FEBS Lett.*, **15**, 89–100 (1971).

(46) D. W. Reed, D. Raveed, and M. Reporter, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, **387**, 368–378 (1975).

(47) G. Feher and M. Y. Okamura, *Brookhaven Symp. Biol.*, **28**, 183–194 (1977).

the surface facing outward in the cell) is inverted when chromatophores are prepared and ends up on the inside of these small, closed membrane vesicles.⁴⁸ From such studies it appears that (1) antibodies to reaction center proteins react with the outer chromatophore surface, but only after the ATPase phosphorylation complex has been removed from the outer surface using EDTA,⁴⁶ (2) antibodies to a mixture of the two smaller reaction center peptides (21 and 23×10^3 dalton) react at both membrane surfaces, but antibodies to the largest (28×10^3 dalton) peptide react only at the surface that ends up on the outside of the chromatophores,⁴⁷ and (3) antibodies to cytochrome c_2 , a membrane-bound electron transport component, appear to be located on the opposite surface, inside the chromatophores.⁴⁹ From investigations such as these we can begin to fill in some of the details in membrane models, such as the one shown in Figure 9.

Solar Energy Conversion

Analysis of the photosynthetic light reactions in terms of physical chemistry suggests a set of design criteria that would be desirable for solar energy converters for commercial power production. These include (1)

(48) K. Matsuura and M. Nishimura, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, **459**, 483-491 (1977).

(49) R. C. Prince, A. Baccarini-Melandri, G. A. Hauska, B. A. Melandri, and A. R. Crofts, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, **387**, 212-227 (1975).

spectral absorption of nearly all wavelengths of photochemically active light incident at the surface of the earth; (2) rapid and efficient conversion of electronic excitation into separation of electrical charge, which may require different photoreactions for different excitation wavelengths; (3) stabilization against wasteful charge recombination, ultimately by separating chemical species across an impermeable membrane with a minimum expenditure of the "stored" energy and entropy; and (4) subsequent conversion of a high fraction of electrical and ion gradient forms of chemical potential into stable "chemical" products obtained from readily available reactants (e.g., H_2O and CO_2).

This is a big order, and it is true that commercial solar energy units do not need to incorporate all of these features. The solar cells used on space probes and satellites convert solar energy directly into electrical energy with efficiencies as high as 15%. Nevertheless, biological photosynthetic organisms do provide targets of efficiency, economy, and scale arising from ingenious and intricate characteristics that we may well use to our profit.

I wish to thank Dr. G. C. Dismukes, Anne McGuire, and Marc Lutz for their helpful comments and suggestions. The research described in this study was supported, in part, by the Division of Biomedical and Environmental Research of the U.S. Energy Research and Development Administration and, in part, by the National Science Foundation (PCM76-05074).

Hydrogen-Bond Structure in Carbohydrate Crystals

GEORGE A. JEFFREY* and SHOZO TAKAGI

Department of Crystallography, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15260, and The Chemistry Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973

Received August 22, 1977

Perhaps because life evolved in an aqueous environment, the hydrogen bond appears to have a specially significant role in the control of biological function and structure. The concept of hydrogen bonding certainly provided the key to such understanding as we have of the logic of protein structure through the recognition of the α -helix and the pleated sheet. Similarly, the elegance of the double-helix structure of DNA and the clue that it provided to the mechanism of genetic replication is a consequence of hydrogen bonding.

It seems that weak interactions in chemistry are more difficult to deal with both experimentally and theoretically than strong interactions. We have quite clear concepts of covalent and ionic bonds and their structural properties. In contrast, our concepts of hydrogen

bonds, dipole interactions, and van der Waals forces are decidedly fuzzy, both experimentally and theoretically, especially in systems where they result in very similar interatomic equilibrium separations.

Despite the ubiquitous nature of hydrogen bonding and its importance in the biological sciences, our current status of knowledge prompted the following statement in a recent text concerned with the shape of macromolecules:

"The one definite fact about hydrogen-bonds is that there does not appear to be any definite rules which govern their geometry."¹

This is somewhat of an overstatement as shown by several recent reviews of what is known about the geometry of hydrogen bonds.²⁻⁴ In general, it is known that in OH--O hydrogen bonds the O--O distances range

George Jeffrey first visited Pittsburgh in 1951 as a Visiting Professor sponsored by the Fulbright program. He liked it so much that he returned permanently in 1953. He is now University Professor and Chairman of the Department of Crystallography at the University of Pittsburgh. From 1974 to 1976 he was a Senior Scientist at Brookhaven National Laboratory.

Shozo Takagi took his Ph.D. in Crystallography at the University of Pittsburgh in 1971. After a postdoctoral at Vanderbilt University, he joined Jeffrey's group at Brookhaven National Laboratory and was responsible for much of the experimental neutron diffraction work which prompted this Account.

(1) A. J. Hopfinger, "The Conformational Properties of Macromolecules", Molecular Biology International Series, Academic Press, New York, N.Y., 1976.

(2) J. Kroon, J. A. Kanters, J. G. C. M. Van Duijneveldt-Van-Derijdt, F. B. Van Duijneveldt, and J. A. Vliegthart, *J. Mol. Struct.*, **24**, 109 (1975).

(3) I. D. Brown, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A*, **32**, 24 (1976).

(4) Jayati Mitra and C. Ramakrishnan, *Int. J. Peptide Protein*, **9**, 27 (1977).